

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/782,595	02/12/2001	David Funk	41803/DBP/C664	41803/DBP/C664 9488		
23363	7590 06/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD			YUSSUF	YUSSUF, SAJID		
SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2141	6		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/2

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Comments	09/782,595	FUNK, DAVID	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sajid A Yussuf	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	)SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	ebruary 2001 and 02 August 200	02.	
· ·	action is non-final.	-	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/06/August/2001.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 09/782,595 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

- 1. It does not include the notary's signature, or the notary's signature is in the wrong place.
- 2. It does not include the notary's seal and venue.

# Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 1,11 objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. As per claim 1 Line 3 Applicant states the word effect in which the Examiner suggests that the Applicant replace "effect" with "affect."
  - b. As per claim 11 Line 10 Applicant states the word effect in which the Examiner suggests that the Applicant replace "effect" with "affect."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 6. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It would appear that Applicant is attempting to claim a process without setting forth any clear method steps in the process which raises an issue if indefiniteness (See MPEP 2173.05).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Application/Control Number: 09/782,595 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

8. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because it is not a proper process or specific means.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 10. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 11. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Araujo et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0047406 and Araujo hereinafter).
- 12. As per claim(s) 1 Araujo discloses a processing unit capable of running a Unix operating system and adapted, in use, to effect at least one management function associated with the network element, (See Paragraph(s) 0096).
- 13. As per claim(s) 2 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1 above and furthermore discloses the management function comprises the distribution of one or more of the group of alarm reports, audit logs, alarm logs, status reports and control messages, (See Paragraph(s) 0027,0038, and 0089).

Art Unit: 2141

14. As per claim(s) 3 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-2 above

and furthermore discloses the processing unit includes a mass storage device for storing the Unix

operating system and associated files and utilities, (See Paragraph(s) 0091, and 0096).

15. As per claim(s) 4 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-3 above

and furthermore discloses one or more network management application programs, protocol

implementations and kernel modules adapted to operate on the Unix operating system, (See

Paragraph(s) 0096).

16. As per claim(s) 5 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-4 above

and furthermore discloses the application programs comprises on or more of the group of an

electronic mail application, and a web server application, (See Paragraph(s) 0003).

17. As per claim(s) 6 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-5 above

and furthermore discloses the application one or more of the group of an electronic mail application,

and a web wherein the protocol implementations comprise one or more of the group of a Common

Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) implementation, a Simple Network Management

Protocol (SNMP) implementation, a Multi-protocol Label Switching (MPLS) implementation and a

Multi-Protocol Lambda Switching (MPLS) implementation, (See Paragraph(s) 0027-0030).

18. As per claim(s) 7 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-6 above

and furthermore discloses the kernel modules comprise Internet firewall kernel modules, (See

Paragraph(s) 0092-0096).

19. As per claim(s) 8 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-7 above

and furthermore discloses the processing unit further includes an interface to a system interconnect

bus within the network element, via which it is able to obtain real-time information regarding the

components comprising the network element for distribution in alarm reports, audit logs, alarm logs

Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

and status reports, and via which it is also able to control the operation of the components

comprising the network element in accordance with control messages received, (See Paragraph(s)

0091-0106).

20. As per claim(s) 9 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-8 above

and furthermore discloses the processing unit further includes an interface to external network

operator and/or network subscriber networks, via which network operators and/or subscribers are

able to monitor alarm reports, audit logs, alarm logs and status reports, and via which network

operators and/or subscribers are able to issue control messages, (See Paragraph(s) 0009-0096).

21. As per claim(s) 10 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 1-9 above

and furthermore discloses the network element comprises a network node or an in-line amplifier,

(See Paragraph(s) 0033-0036).

22. As per claim(s) 11 Araujo discloses a processing unit capable of running a Unix operating

system and adapted, in use, to effect at least one management function associated with the network

element, (See Paragraph(s) 0096).

23. As per claim(s) 12 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 11 above

and furthermore discloses the management function comprises the distribution of one or more of

the group of alarm reports, audit logs, alarm logs, status reports and control messages, (See

Paragraph(s) 0027).

24. As per claim(s) 13 Araujo discloses transmitting management data directly between

processing units located at individual network elements of the optical network, wherein the

management data is processed within each processing unit on a Unix operating system, (See

Paragraph(s) 0038 and 0096).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

25. As per claim(s) 14 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 13 above

and furthermore discloses data associated with alarm reports, audit logs, alarm logs, status reports

and control messages, (See Paragraph(s) 0027, 0038, and 0089).

26. As per claim(s) 15 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 13-14 above

and furthermore discloses the management data is processed utilizing one or more network

management application programs, protocol implementations and kernel modules adapted to

operate on the Unix operating system, (See Paragraph(s) 0096).

27. As per claim(s) 16 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 13-15 above

and furthermore discloses the application programs comprise one or more of the group of an

electronic mail application, and a web server application, (See Paragraph(s) 0003).

28. As per claim(s) 17 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 13-16 above

and furthermore discloses the protocol implementations comprises one or more of the group of an

SSH implementation, a CORBA implementation, an SNMP implementation, an MPLS

implementation and an MPIS implementation, (See Paragraph(s) 0027).

29. As per claim(s) 18 Araujo teaches the claimed invention as described in claim(s) 13-17 above

and furthermore discloses the kernel modules comprises Internet firewall kernel modules, (See

Paragraph(s) 0092-0096).

Conclusion

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Sajid A Yussuf whose telephone number is (703) 305-8752. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM and Alternate Fridays.

31. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

Page 7

32. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sajid Yussuf Patent Examiner Technology center 2100 24 May 2004

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER